Distance from Apartment to Group Activity Reduces the Likelihood of Attendance for **Residents of an Assisted Living Facility**





SCHOOL OF **SOCIAL WORK**

Introduction



In 2016, there were over 800,000 older adults with various ADL/IADL limitations in 28,900 assisted living facilities in the United States (Harris-Kojetin et al., 2019). ALFs often offer group activities in addition to personal care and communal meals.



Participation in group activities is associated with decreased mortality, reduced depressive symptoms and improved health and quality of life for older adults (Nordin et al., 2016; Cosco et al., 2013; James et al., 2011).



A variety of individual and contextual factors are empirically related to ALF residents' participation in group activities or social engagement, yet little is known about the effects of distance from residents' apartments to activity location when controlling for other factors, including social context.

The purpose of this study is to identify factors that were associated with attendance in groups activities over the course of sixteen weeks in an ALF in the southern U.S.



References

Cosco, T. D., Prina, A. M., Perales, J., Stephan, B. C., & Brayne, C. (2013). Lay perspectives of successful ageing: A systematic review and metaethnography. *BMJ Open, 3*(6), 1–9.

James, B. D., Boyle, P. A., Buchman, A. S., & Bennett, D. A. (2011). Relation of late-life social activity with incident disability among community-dwelling older adults. The Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences, 66A, 467–473. Harris-Kojetin, L., Sengupta, M., Lendon, J., Valverde, R., & Caffrey, C. (2019). Long-term care providers in the United States 2015-2016: Data from the National study of Long-Term Care Providers. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. Nordin, S., McKee, K., Wallinder, M., von Koch, L., Wijk, H., & Elf, M. (2016). The physical environment, activity and interaction in residential care facilities for older people: A comparative case study. Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences, 31, 727-738. doi: 10.1111/scs.12391. Wang, P., Robins, G., Pattison, P., & Lazega, E. (2013). Exponential random graph models for multilevel networks. Social Networks, 35, 96-115. doi: 10.1016.j.socnet.2013.01.004

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant Number 1702643. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

Special thanks to the residents, staff, and administration of the assisted living facility where this research was conducted and to members of the research team: Regina Odimegwu, Sarah Narendorf, and W. Andrew Achenbaum.

Rebecca L. Mauldin, LMSW, PhD; Jason Fernandez, LMSW; Carin Wong, PhD; Kayo Fujimoto, PhD

Sample

s of assisted living facility residents	n	%		
	25	71.4		
	34	97.1		
	<u> </u>	М	SD	
-)	33	82.1	8.2	
nin-max = 0-15)	33	5.0	3.6	
(min-max = 15-30)	33	26.4	3.5	
s (min-max = 0-11)	33	2.8	3.0	
ittended (min-max = 0-379)	35	80.1	101.4	
feet (min-max = 7.7-382.0)	35	153.9	57.4	





Method

• Collected attendance records for 563 group activities held over the course of 16 weeks. • Measured distances between apartments and activities with a measuring wheel. Administered surveys to participants (N=35) to assess biopsychosocial factors (cognitive functioning, physical, depressive symptoms).

• Used exponential random graph model (ERGM) for bipartite to model residents' attendance at group activities (ergm package version 3.10.4).

Results

	Model 1			Model 2			Model 3		
	M.L.E.	S.E.	р	M.L.E.	S.E.	р	M.L.E.	S.E.	р
Structural effects									
Edges	-3.15	0.097	<.001	-3.31	0.303	<.001	-2.729 0.	236	<.001
Popularity of group activity (gwb2degree)	1.10	0.368	.003	1.08	0.360	.003	1.090 0.	137	<.001
Group activity 3-stars (b2star3)	0.05	0.005	<.001	0.05	0.005	<.001	0.052 0.	.003	<.001
Group activity 4-stars (b2star4)	-0.01	0.001	<.001	-0.01	0.001	<.001	-0.006 0.	.001	<.001
Shared attendance at group activity (gwnsp-decay factor .7)	0.01	0.000	<.001	0.01	0.001	<.001	0.013 0.	.000	<.001
Individual-level factors									
Age (in years)				-0.01	0.003	.018	-0.006 0.	.002	.007
Female (reference = Male)				0.43	0.054	<.001	0.441 0.	.050	<.001
Physical limitations				0.03	0.006	<.001	0.031 0.	.006	<.001
Cognitive functioning				0.01	0.006	.2769	-0.001 0.	.006	.869
Depressive symptoms				0.00	0.007	.7631	-0.001 0.	.007	.855
Distance (in feet)							-0.003 0.	.000	<.001
AIC	13720			13613			13528		
BIC	13760			13692			13615		
Note MIE = maximum likelihood estimation: SE = standard error: AIC = Akaike	information	o criterion	\cdot BIC = Bay	esian informa	tion crite	rion·* <i>n<</i> 0	$5 \cdot ** n < 01 \cdot ***$	* <i>n<</i> 001	

Discussion

- Location of activities within ALFs
- Building design
- Co-residents
- Help residents get to activities
- Engage males

Research Future

Replicate at additional sites Control for social engagement outside ALF



The University of Texas



Only 1 facility Homogeneous sample Assumes effect of

distance is linear